Appendix 1Equalities Impact Assessment

| Aim of Policy / Scope of Service: Recommendation to retain water carrier made from human cranium | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Different Groups included in scope | Potential Impact on this group | Existing data/information inc. relevant legislation | Data/Information required | Potential actions to minimise negative impact and maximise positive impacts | | | |
| BME communities in Brighton & Hove | Groups see retention of water carrier by RP&M as inappropriate | Process and recommendation informed by all relevant legislation including DCMS Guidance for the Care of Human Remains in Museums and BHCC's Policy for the care and treatment of human remains. | | Statement issued via RP&M website making transparent the processes involved in making the recommendation and the terms on which it was made. | | | |
| Indigenous communities in Australia, particularly the Ngarrindjeri source community | | Australian research on the perspectives of Indigenous communities on objects incorporating Indigenous human remains held in museum collections. | See Agreed Actions | Community leaders of the Ngarrindjeri formally approached to explore possibility of co-curating and/or knowledge sharing in regards of the vessel. RP&M to take transparent and proactive approach. | | | |

| What consultation has been used or undertaken? | Date | Methods Used | Findings |
|---|------|---|---|
| In terms of researching the history and cultural significance of the vessel, RP&M consulted Philip Jones, a senior curator at the South Australia Museum and specialist in Indigenous Australian history and cultural heritage. | | Infrequent correspondence by email over the period 2006 – 2008. | Detailed in the evidence gathering document prepared the Keeper of World Art. |

| Agreed Actions | Timescale | Lead Officer | Review date |
|--|---------------------|--|---|
| To investigate what information exists on – the perspectives of Indigenous communities on objects incorporating Indigenous human remains held in overseas museum collections, especially in the case of decisions not to repatriate | Feb – April 2009 | Helen Mears Keeper of World Art RP&M | 30 June 2009 – any further recommendations / planned activities will be incorporated into the EIA for RP&M services (submitted in June 2008, due for review in June 2009) |
| possible models for co-curating (with representatives of the Indigenous source community) objects of significance held in overseas museum collections | | | |
| draft a statement making transparent the processes involved in making the recommendation and the terms on which it was made (also the fact that some | Feb – June 2009 | Helen Mears Keeper of World Art RP&M | 30 June 2009 |
| remains will be returned). To be issued | | | |

EIA – Appendix 1

| via the RP&M website | | |
|---|--|--|
| make a proactive approach to members of the Ngarrindjeri community regarding the possibility of co-curating the object / knowledge sharing | | |
| | | |

Lead Equality Impact Assessment Officer: Date:

Departmental Management Team Date:

Assistant Director: Date:

Corporate Equalities and Inclusion Team Date:

(NB: Actions must now be transferred to service or business plans)